#### **SPCH 9 Course Outline as of Fall 2011**

### **CATALOG INFORMATION**

Dept and Nbr: SPCH 9 Title: CRITICAL THINK & ARG.

Full Title: Critical Thinking and Argumentation

Last Reviewed: 4/9/2024

Units		Course Hours per Week	•	Nbr of Weeks	<b>Course Hours Total</b>	
Maximum	3.00	Lecture Scheduled	3.00	17.5	Lecture Scheduled	52.50
Minimum	3.00	Lab Scheduled	0	5	Lab Scheduled	0
		Contact DHR	0		Contact DHR	0
		Contact Total	3.00		Contact Total	52.50
		Non-contact DHR	0		Non-contact DHR	0

Total Out of Class Hours: 105.00 Total Student Learning Hours: 157.50

Title 5 Category: AA Degree Applicable

Grading: Grade or P/NP

Repeatability: 00 - Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

Also Listed As:

Formerly:

#### **Catalog Description:**

Primary emphasis is on argumentation as the study of analysis, evidence, reasoning, refutation and rebuttal in oral and written communication. A significant component involves written argumentation with special attention to the essay form.

#### **Prerequisites/Corequisites:**

Completion of ENGL 1A or higher

### **Recommended Preparation:**

#### **Limits on Enrollment:**

#### **Schedule of Classes Information:**

Description: Primary emphasis is on argumentation as the study of analysis, evidence, reasoning, refutation and rebuttal in oral and written communication. A significant component involves written argumentation with special attention to the essay form. (Grade or P/NP)

Prerequisites/Corequisites: Completion of ENGL 1A or higher

Recommended:

Limits on Enrollment:

Transfer Credit: CSU;UC.

Repeatability: Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

# **ARTICULATION, MAJOR, and CERTIFICATION INFORMATION:**

AS Degree: Area Effective: Inactive:

B Communication and Analytical Fall 1994

Thinking

**CSU GE:** Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

A3 Critical Thinking Fall 1995

**IGETC:** Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

1B Critical Thinking - English Fall 1995

Composition

**CSU Transfer:** Transferable Effective: Fall 1994 Inactive:

**UC Transfer:** Transferable Effective: Fall 1994 Inactive:

CID:

CID Descriptor: COMM 120 Argumentation or Argumentation and Debate

SRJC Equivalent Course(s): COMM9 OR COMM3

### **Certificate/Major Applicable:**

Both Certificate and Major Applicable

## **COURSE CONTENT**

### **Outcomes and Objectives:**

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Develop arguments that support the traditional prima facie elements for propositions of fact, value and policy.
- 2. Apply stock issues analysis when supporting or opposing a resolution.
- 3. List, explain and apply the techniques of refutation.
- 4. Identify and utilize the principles of effective and efficient research in preparing arguments to support or oppose a debate resolution.
- 5. Compare and contrast the differences between inductive and deductive reasoning.
- 6. Compare and contrast different patterns of reasoning including example, analogy, causal and sign reasoning.
- 7. Recognize and identify logical fallacies.
- 8. Demonstrate the use of outlining to construct cases that support or oppose a debate resolution.
- 9. Critique a debate, oral and/or written, providing reasons for a decision in accordance with accepted evaluation standards.

# **Topics and Scope:**

- I. Introduction to the Course
  - A. The relationship between argument and critical thinking
  - B. The role of critical thinking in life, politics, professions and education
  - C. Argumentation and epistemology in the Western rhetorical tradition
  - D. The roles and responsibilities of arguers

II. The Nature of Argumentation: From Arguing to Debating
A. The meaning of argumentation
B. The basic unit of rhetorical argument: the enthymeme
C. The relationship of debate to argumentation
D. The world of debate

- III. The Reasonable Person Model: Addressing our Rational Selves
  - A. Defining the "reasonable person"
  - B. The parties to a debate
  - C. The role of debate in problem solving
- D. The ethics of debate
- IV. The Resolution: The Focus of a Debate
  - A. The burden of proof
  - B. Presumption
  - C. The standard of proof
  - D. The burden of refutation

## V. The Requirements for a Properly Constructed Debate Resolution

- A. One central idea
- B. Controversy
- C. Neutral terminology
- D. The burden of proof properly placed
- VI. The Importance of Definitions
- A. Types of definitions
- B. Standards for evaluating competing definitions
- VII. Traditional Prima Facie Stock Issue Requirements
  - A. Resolutions of fact
  - B. Resolutions of value
- C. Resolutions of policy

## VIII. Affirmative Strategies in Debate

- A. Needs analysis
- B. Comparative advantage
- C. Alternative justification
- D. Goals/criterion
- IX. Negative Strategies in Debate
  - A. Topicality
  - B. Defense of the status quo
  - C. Minor repair
  - D. Counter policies
  - E. Reliance on presumption
  - F. Disadvantages
- X. Critical Thinking
  - A. The Toulmin Model
  - B. Fallacies of reasoning
  - C. Syllogisms
  - D. Inductive reasoning
  - E. Deductive reasoning
- F. Determining valid and invalid arguments
- XI. The Role of Research in Support of Claims
  - A. The need for evidence
  - B. The evaluation of evidence
  - C. The application of evidence
  - D. Conducting basic research
- XII. Evaluating the Debate

- A. The role of the critic
- B. Judging paradigms
- C. Providing constructive feedback

### **Assignment:**

Assignments will include:

- 1. Reading 1-2 chapters per week.
- 2. Writing assignments (minimum of 6,000 words) include:
  - a. sequential writing on opposing viewpoints
  - b. essays emphasizing analysis and evaluation of arguments from a critical viewpoint
- c. formal argumentative term papers that advocate for or against a policy change on a significant contemporary issue.
- 3. Skills demonstration consisting of two or three oral debates (in the classroom or via teleconferencing).
- 4. Attendance and class participation.
- 5. Optional field work including critiques of live presentations.
- 6. Formal testing (2 4 exams):
  - a. midterm exams
  - b. final exam
  - c. 2-8 quizzes.

#### Methods of Evaluation/Basis of Grade:

**Writing:** Assessment tools that demonstrate writing skills and/or require students to select, organize and explain ideas in writing.

Argumentative essays of varying lengths.

Writing 50 - 60%

**Problem Solving:** Assessment tools, other than exams, that demonstrate competence in computational or non-computational problem solving skills.

Include evaluating syllogisms, identifying fallacies and identifying the problem with (and fixing) incorrectly phrased resolutions.

Problem solving 5 - 10%

**Skill Demonstrations:** All skill-based and physical demonstrations used for assessment purposes including skill performance exams.

Include oral presentations/debates, performance exams.

Skill Demonstrations 15 - 25%

**Exams:** All forms of formal testing, other than skill performance exams.

Multiple choice; True/False; Matching; Completions; Essays.

Exams 15 - 25%

**Other:** Includes any assessment tools that do not logically fit into the above categories.

Class attendance and participation in discussions and group exercises.

Other Category 5 - 10%

### **Representative Textbooks and Materials:**

Argumentation and Critical Decision Making. Reik. Allyn & Bacon: 2009

Argumentation and Debate. Freely & Steinberg. Wadsworth: 2009

Burden of Proof: An Introduction to Argument and Guide to Parliamentary Debate. Crossman. Thomson Learning College: 2006

Critical Thinking and Communication: The Use of Reason in Argument (6th). Inch & Warnick. Allyn & Bacon: 2010

Critical Thinking, Reading and Writing (7th). Barnet & Bedeau. Bedford/St. Martins: 2011

Critical Thinking Through Debate. Corcoran, Nelson & Perella. Kendall/Hunt: 2005