#### SPCH 52A Course Outline as of Fall 2012

## **CATALOG INFORMATION**

Dept and Nbr: SPCH 52A Title: FORENSICS 1

Full Title: Forensics 1 (SRJC Speech Team)

Last Reviewed: 4/12/2021

Units		Course Hours per Wee	k	Nbr of Weeks	<b>Course Hours Total</b>	
Maximum	6.00	Lecture Scheduled	3.00	17.5	Lecture Scheduled	52.50
Minimum	6.00	Lab Scheduled	9.00	17.5	Lab Scheduled	157.50
		Contact DHR	0		Contact DHR	0
		Contact Total	12.00		Contact Total	210.00
		Non-contact DHR	0		Non-contact DHR	0

Total Out of Class Hours: 105.00 Total Student Learning Hours: 315.00

Title 5 Category: AA Degree Applicable

Grading: Grade or P/NP

Repeatability: 00 - Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

Also Listed As:

Formerly:

### **Catalog Description:**

Preparation for and participation in novice forensics tournaments. Activities range from debate to public speaking to oral interpretation of literature.

# **Prerequisites/Corequisites:**

### **Recommended Preparation:**

Eligibility for ENGL 1A or equivalent

#### **Limits on Enrollment:**

#### **Schedule of Classes Information:**

Description: Preparation for and participation in novice forensics tournaments. Activities range

from debate to public speaking to oral interpretation of literature. (Grade or P/NP)

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

Recommended: Eligibility for ENGL 1A or equivalent

Limits on Enrollment: Transfer Credit: CSU;

Repeatability: Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

# **ARTICULATION, MAJOR, and CERTIFICATION INFORMATION:**

AS Degree: Area Effective: Inactive: CSU GE: Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

**IGETC:** Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

**CSU Transfer:** Transferable Effective: Fall 2012 Inactive:

**UC Transfer:** Effective: Inactive:

CID:

CID Descriptor: COMM 160B Forensics (Speech & Debate)

SRJC Equivalent Course(s): COMM52A OR COMM52B OR COMM52C OR COMM52D

## **Certificate/Major Applicable:**

Major Applicable Course

# **COURSE CONTENT**

### **Outcomes and Objectives:**

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Attend a forensics tournament and successfully navigate through a day of competition.
- 2. If competing in debate, apply argumentation theory appropriate for a novice division forensics tournament.
- 3. If competing in a platform event, research, outline, write and deliver a speech appropriate for a novice division forensics tournament.
- 4. If competing in a limited preparation speaking event, generate topic-relevant content, logically organize the content and deliver a speech appropriate for a novice division forensics tournament, all within the time limit allowed by the event.
- 5. If competing in an oral interpretation of literature event, research, critically analyze, prepare and deliver works of literary merit appropriate for a novice division forensics tournament.

# **Topics and Scope:**

- I. History of Forensics
- II. Major Forensics Organizations
- III. Forensics Events
  - A. Debate
  - B. Platform speeches
  - C. Limited preparation speeches
  - D. Oral interpretation of literature
- IV. Five Canons of Rhetoric
- V. Aristotle's Artistic Proofs
- VI. Framework of a Debate
  - A. Presumption
  - B. Burden of proof
  - C. Standard of proof
  - D. Burden of refutation

- VII. Stock Issues of Affirmative Case Construction
  - A. Motive
  - B. Blame
  - C. Cure
  - D. Cost
- VIII. Basic Negative Strategies
  - A. Defense of present system
  - B. Minor repair
  - C. Counter-plan
  - D. Straight refutation
- IX. Toulmin Model of Argumentation
- X. Speaker Order and Responsibilities
- XI. Basic Speech Outline
  - A. Introduction
  - B. Body
  - C. Conclusion
- XII. Dramatistic Analysis
- XIII. Characterization and Visualization Techniques
- XIV. Tournament Logistics
- XV. Tournament Participation

### **Assignment:**

Assignments may include (depending on events):

- 1. Prepare a series of generic briefs for and against assigned resolutions.
- 2. Research, organize and write a literary presentation.
- 3. Research and organize a literary program.
- 4. Develop a speech while competing in a limited preparation speaking event that is relevant to the topic, appropriately organized and effectively presented while conforming to the required time limits.

# Lab Components Include:

- 1. Participate in forensic events.
- 2. Practice, deliver, and critique a speech on a significant contemporary issue.
- 3. Practice, deliver, and critique a speech on a literary program.
- 4. Practice, deliver, and critique a speech while competing in a limited preparation speaking event that is

relevant to the topic, appropriately organized and effectively presented while conforming to the required time limits.

#### Methods of Evaluation/Basis of Grade:

**Writing:** Assessment tools that demonstrate writing skills and/or require students to select, organize and explain ideas in writing.

None, This is a degree applicable course but assessment tools based on writing are not included because skill demonstrations are more appropriate for this course.

Writing 0 - 0%

**Problem Solving:** Assessment tools, other than exams, that demonstrate competence in computational or non-computational problem solving skills.

None

Problem solving 0 - 0%

**Skill Demonstrations:** All skill-based and physical demonstrations used for assessment purposes including skill performance exams.

Class performances, debates, speeches, literary recitations, oral critiques, and research

Skill Demonstrations 80 - 90%

**Exams:** All forms of formal testing, other than skill performance exams.

None

Exams 0 - 0%

**Other:** Includes any assessment tools that do not logically fit into the above categories.

Attendance and class participation

Other Category 10 - 20%

### **Representative Textbooks and Materials:**

Intercollegiate Forensics. Winebrenner, T.C. ed. Kendall/Hunt: 1994 Classic text

The Rhetorical Act: Thinking, Speaking & Writing Critically. Campbell & Huxman.

Wadsworth: 2009

Argumentation and Debate. Freely & Steinberg. Wadsworth: 2009

Burden of Proof: An Introduction to Argument and Guide to Parliamentary Debate. Crossman. Thomson Learning

College: 2006

Critical Thinking Through Debate. Corcoran, Nelson & Perella.

Kendall/Hunt: 2011