

CATALOG INFORMATION

Dept and Nbr: PHIL 5 Title: CRITICAL THINK/WRITE
Full Title: Critical Thinking/Writing
Last Reviewed: 1/23/2023

| Units | | Course Hours per Week | | Nbr of Weeks | Course Hours Total | |
|---------|------|-----------------------|------|--------------|--------------------|-------|
| Maximum | 3.00 | Lecture Scheduled | 3.00 | 17.5 | Lecture Scheduled | 52.50 |
| Minimum | 3.00 | Lab Scheduled | 0 | 6 | Lab Scheduled | 0 |
| | | Contact DHR | 0 | | Contact DHR | 0 |
| | | Contact Total | 3.00 | | Contact Total | 52.50 |
| | | Non-contact DHR | 0 | | Non-contact DHR | 0 |

Total Out of Class Hours: 105.00

Total Student Learning Hours: 157.50

Title 5 Category: AA Degree Applicable
Grading: Grade or P/NP
Repeatability: 00 - Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP
Also Listed As:
Formerly:

Catalog Description:
In this course, students will learn to use critical thinking principles in the reading, writing, and analysis of extended argumentative essays.

Prerequisites/Corequisites:
Completion of ENGL 1A (OR ESL 10) or higher (V8) or appropriate placement based on AB 705 mandates

Recommended Preparation:

Limits on Enrollment:

Schedule of Classes Information:
Description: In this course, students will learn to use critical thinking principles in the reading, writing, and analysis of extended argumentative essays. (Grade or P/NP)
Prerequisites/Corequisites: Completion of ENGL 1A (OR ESL 10) or higher (V8) or appropriate placement based on AB 705 mandates

Recommended:
Limits on Enrollment:
Transfer Credit: CSU;UC.
Repeatability: Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

ARTICULATION, MAJOR, and CERTIFICATION INFORMATION:

| | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------|
| AS Degree: | Area B | Communication and Analytical Thinking | Effective: Spring 1991 | Inactive: |
| CSU GE: | Transfer Area A3 | Critical Thinking | Effective: Fall 1991 | Inactive: |
| IGETC: | Transfer Area 1B | Critical Thinking - English Composition | Effective: Fall 1981 | Inactive: |
| CSU Transfer: | Transferable | Effective: | Spring 1991 | Inactive: |
| UC Transfer: | Transferable | Effective: | Spring 1991 | Inactive: |

CID:

Certificate/Major Applicable:
Major Applicable Course

Approval and Dates

| | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Version: | 09 | Course Created/Approved: | 3/11/1991 |
| Version Created: | 4/27/2022 | Course Last Modified: | 12/30/2023 |
| Submitter: | Sarah Lesson | Course last full review: | 1/23/2023 |
| Version Status: | Approved (Changed Course) | Prereq Created/Approved: | 1/23/2023 |
| Version Status Date: | 1/23/2023 | Semester Last Taught: | Fall 2023 |
| Version Term Effective: | Fall 2023 | Term Inactive: | Fall 2024 |

COURSE CONTENT

Student Learning Outcomes:

At the conclusion of this course, the student should be able to:

1. Analyze extended arguments to identify and explain their claims and premises.
2. Evaluate extended arguments to determine whether their claims are adequately supported.
3. Compose cogent written argumentative essays.

Objectives:

At the conclusion of this course, the student should be able to:

1. Identify and describe the main conclusion or thesis of an argument and demonstrate an understanding of its significance.
2. Identify and paraphrase the main supporting premises for a conclusion and the arguments in support of those premises.
3. Identify vagueness, ambiguity, emotive language, and other rhetorical elements of an argument.

4. Make explicit any unstated premises and/or conclusions in an argument.
5. Employ the correct techniques for evaluating the deductive and/or inductive structures of a component argument within the larger argument.
6. Determine and discuss the relevance of premises to conclusions.
7. Detect and describe logical fallacies that may occur in an argument.
8. Evaluate the acceptability of any unsupported statements of fact or opinion in an argument.
9. Perform a summary evaluation of an overall argument.
10. Select an appropriate topic for an argumentative essay and formulate a clear and defensible conclusion.
11. Conduct library research to support an argument and provide the appropriate documentation.
12. Develop strong arguments that are based upon sound inferences from clear and acceptable premises.
13. Anticipate and critique the strongest counterarguments.
14. Express ideas clearly, precisely, and unambiguously.
15. Organize essays, paragraphs, and sentences logically and coherently.

Topics and Scope:

Topics and sequences vary but a typical course involves the following:

- I. The Concept of "Argument" and its Various Components (e.g. Issue, Conclusion, Premise, Assumption)
- II. Clarity in Language Use
 - A. How to recognize unclear language
 - B. How to improve the clarity of one's own writing
- III. Rhetorical Features of Argument Evaluation
 - A. Ambiguity, connotation, denotation, euphemism, slanting, etc.
 - B. Identifying rhetorical features in critical essays
- IV. Types of Argument
 - A. Deductive, inductive, syllogism, generalization, analogy, causal argument, etc.
 - B. identifying types in critical essays
- V. Methods for Evaluating Arguments (e.g. Validity, Soundness, Cogency, Relevance, Logical Fallacy)
- VI. Determining the Acceptability of Claims of Fact, Value, Opinion, etc.
- VII. Selecting Argumentative Essay Topics and Conclusions
- VIII. Developing and Presenting Relevant Support for a Conclusion
- IX. Identifying and Responding to the Strongest Objections to a Position
- X. Organizing an Argumentative Essay Clearly, Logically, and Coherently by the Appropriate Use of Essay Components
 - A. Introduction
 - B. Transitions
 - C. Conclusions
 - D. Summaries
 - E. Logical relationships between sentences in a paragraph and between paragraphs in an essay
- XI. Library Research and Documentation, as Pertaining to Argument Essays
- XII. Revision Techniques to Improve Clarity, Coherence, Accuracy, Cogency and Logical Progression

Assignment:

1. Written application of methods for evaluating different types of arguments
2. Written application of methods for selecting argumentative essay topics and conclusions and developing and presenting relevant support for a conclusion

3. Written application of library research and documentation
4. Writing assignments of at least 6000 words total, divided into at least 5 essays
5. Revising essays to improve their clarity, coherence, accuracy, cogency, and logical progression
6. Exam(s) (1-2)

Methods of Evaluation/Basis of Grade:

Writing: Assessment tools that demonstrate writing skills and/or require students to select, organize and explain ideas in writing.

Written applications; essays

Writing
70 - 90%

Problem Solving: Assessment tools, other than exams, that demonstrate competence in computational or non-computational problem solving skills.

Written applications of methods

Problem solving
5 - 20%

Skill Demonstrations: All skill-based and physical demonstrations used for assessment purposes including skill performance exams.

None

Skill Demonstrations
0 - 0%

Exams: All forms of formal testing, other than skill performance exams.

Exam(s)

Exams
5 - 25%

Other: Includes any assessment tools that do not logically fit into the above categories.

None

Other Category
0 - 0%

Representative Textbooks and Materials:

Critical Thinking. 6th ed. Bassham, Gregory. McGraw Hill. 2018.

Critical Thinking, Reading and Writing. 9th ed. Barnett, Sylvan and Bedau, Hugo. St. Martins. 2016 (classic).

Elements of Style. White, E. B. and Strunk, William. WLC Books. 2009 (classic).

Instructor prepared materials

OTHER REQUIRED ELEMENTS

STUDENT PREPARATION

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Matric Assessment Required: | E | Requires English Assessment |
| Prerequisites-generate description: | U | User Generated Text |
| Advisories-generate description: | NA | No Advisory |
| Prereq-provisional: | N | NO |
| Prereq/coreq-registration check: | Y | Prerequisite Rules Exist |
| Requires instructor signature: | N | Instructor's Signature Not Required |

BASIC INFORMATION, HOURS/UNITS & REPEATABILITY

| | | |
|------------------------|------|--|
| Method of instruction: | 02 | Lecture |
| | 71 | Internet-Based, Simultaneous Interaction |
| | 72 | Internet-Based, Delayed Interaction |
| Area department: | PHIL | Philosophy |
| Division: | 71 | Language Arts & Academic Foundations |
| Special topic course: | N | Not a Special Topic Course |
| Program status: | 1 | Major Applicable Course |
| Repeatability: | 00 | Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP |
| Repeat group id: | | |

SCHEDULING

| | | |
|------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| Audit allowed: | N | Not Auditable |
| Open entry/exit: | N | Not Open Entry/Open Exit |
| Credit by exam: | N | Credit by examination not allowed |
| Budget code: Program: | 0000 | Unrestricted |
| Budget code: Activity: | 1509 | Philosophy |

OTHER CODES

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|
| Discipline: | Philosophy | |
| Basic skills: | N | Not a Basic Skills Course |
| Level below transfer: | Y | Not Applicable |
| CVU/CVC status: | Y | Distance Ed, Not CVU/CVC Developed |
| Distance Ed Approved: | Y | Either online or hybrid, as determined by instructor |
| Emergency Distance Ed Approved: | Y | Fully Online Partially Online Online with flexible in-person activities |
| Credit for Prior Learning: | N | Agency Exam |
| | N | CBE |
| | N | Industry Credentials |
| | N | Portfolio |
| Non-credit category: | Y | Not Applicable, Credit Course |
| Classification: | Y | Liberal Arts and Sciences Courses |
| SAM classification: | E | Non-Occupational |
| TOP code: | 1509.00 | Philosophy |
| Work-based learning: | N | Does Not Include Work-Based Learning |
| DSPS course: | N | Not a DSPS Course |

In-service:

N

Not an in-Service Course