PHIL 3 Course Outline as of Fall 2021

CATALOG INFORMATION

Dept and Nbr: PHIL 3 Title: CRITICAL THINKING

Full Title: Critical Thinking Last Reviewed: 10/12/2020

Units		Course Hours per Week		Nbr of Weeks	Course Hours Total	
Maximum	3.00	Lecture Scheduled	3.00	17.5	Lecture Scheduled	52.50
Minimum	3.00	Lab Scheduled	0	6	Lab Scheduled	0
		Contact DHR	0		Contact DHR	0
		Contact Total	3.00		Contact Total	52.50
		Non-contact DHR	0		Non-contact DHR	0

Total Out of Class Hours: 105.00 Total Student Learning Hours: 157.50

Title 5 Category: AA Degree Applicable

Grading: Grade or P/NP

Repeatability: 00 - Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

Also Listed As:

Formerly:

Catalog Description:

This course is a study of practical reasoning, argumentation, and the analysis of language as useful tools for making reasonable decisions about what to do and believe.

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

Recommended Preparation:

Eligibility for ENGL 1A or equivalent or appropriate placement based on AB705 mandates

Limits on Enrollment:

Schedule of Classes Information:

Description: This course is a study of practical reasoning, argumentation, and the analysis of language as useful tools for making reasonable decisions about what to do and believe. (Grade or P/NP)

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

Recommended: Eligibility for ENGL 1A or equivalent or appropriate placement based on

AB705 mandates

Limits on Enrollment:

Transfer Credit: CSU;UC.

Repeatability: Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

ARTICULATION, MAJOR, and CERTIFICATION INFORMATION:

AS Degree: Area Effective: Inactive:

B Communication and Analytical Fall 1981

Thinking

CSU GE: Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

A3 Critical Thinking Fall 1981

IGETC: Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

CSU Transfer: Transferable Effective: Fall 1981 Inactive:

UC Transfer: Transferable Effective: Fall 1981 Inactive:

CID:

Certificate/Major Applicable:

Major Applicable Course

COURSE CONTENT

Student Learning Outcomes:

At the conclusion of this course, the student should be able to:

- 1. Analyze arguments to identify and explain the claims and premises.
- 2. Evaluate arguments to determine whether claims are adequately supported.
- 3. Compose cogent written arguments.

Objectives:

At the conclusion of this course, the student should be able to:

- 1. Identify arguments.
- 2. Distinguish arguments from explanations, and from statements of unsupported opinion.
- 3. Describe the structure of support within an argument.
- 4. Paraphrase arguments.
- 5. Distinguish inductive from deductive reasoning.
- 6. Apply appropriate standards for the evaluation of both inductive and deductive arguments.
- 7. Apply appropriate standards of informal argument evaluation (recognition of informally fallacious arguments).
- 8. Design and compose arguments that meet appropriate standards of evaluation.

Topics and Scope:

The sequence of topics varies, but a typical course includes at least the following:

- I. Obstacles to Critical Thinking
 - A. Relativism
 - B. Subjectivism
 - C. Egocentrism
 - D. Ethnocentrism
 - E. Intimidation by Authority
 - F. Doublespeak

- G. Advertising
- II. Assumption
 - A. Explicit
 - B. Implicit
 - C. Presuppositions
 - D. Inferential
- III. Language
 - A. Functions of Language
 - B. Dimensions of Meaning
 - C. Denotation
 - D. Connotation
 - E. Vagueness
 - F. Ambiguity
 - G. Definitions
- IV. Issues and Issue Analysis
- V. Argument Identification
- VI. Argument Analysis
 - A. Premises
 - B. Conclusions
 - C. Argument Reconstruction
 - D. Paraphrasing
- VII. Argument Types
 - A. Deductive
 - B. Inductive
 - C. Analogy
 - D. Causal
 - E. Other types
- VIII. Argument Evaluation
 - A. Validity
 - B. Soundness
 - C. Cogency
 - D. Consistency
 - E. Inconsistency
- IX. Formal Fallacies
 - A. Affirming the Consequent
 - B. Denying the Antecedent
 - C. Undistributed Middle
- X. Informal Fallacies
 - A. Appeal to Authority
 - B. Equivocation
 - C. Ad Hominem
 - D. Straw Man
 - E. Begging the Question
 - F. Slippery Slope
 - G. Suppressed Evidence
- XI. Analysis and Construction of Extended Arguments

Assignment:

- 1. Regular reading assignments from course texts and supplementary materials (20-25 pages/week)
- 2. Quizzes that cover the assigned readings. Quizzes may be either multiple choice or short

essays.

- 3. Homework assignments covering material from the textbook or class discussions and lectures
- 4. At least two midterm examinations. Typically, students will analyze and evaluate an argument or arguments of types covered in class.
- 5. A final examination. Students will analyze and evaluate an argument or arguments of types covered in class.
- 6. Participation in class discussions (Optional)
- 7. Term paper in which students research an issue or topic raised in class and defend a particular position on that issue, typically 8 -10 pages (Optional)

Methods of Evaluation/Basis of Grade:

Writing: Assessment tools that demonstrate writing skills and/or require students to select, organize and explain ideas in writing.

Problem Solving: Assessment tools, other than exams, that demonstrate competence in computational or non-

computational problem solving skills.

Written homework, term paper

Skill Demonstrations: All skill-based and physical

demonstrations used for assessment purposes including skill performance exams.

None

None

Exams: All forms of formal testing, other than skill

performance exams.

Quizzes, midterms, final exam

Other: Includes any assessment tools that do not logically fit into the above categories.

Class participation

Writing 30 - 50%

Problem solving 0 - 0%

Skill Demonstrations 0 - 0%

Exams 40 - 70%

Other Category 0 - 10%

Representative Textbooks and Materials:

Critical Thinking. 13th ed. Moore, Brooke Noel and Parker, Richard. McGraw-Hill. 2021.

Critical Thinking: A Student's Introduction (paperback). 6th ed. Bassham, Gregory and Irwin, William and Nardone, Henry. McGraw-Hill. 2019.

Asking the Right Questions: A Guide to Critical Thinking. 12th ed. Browne, M. Neil and Keeley, Stuart M. Pearson. 2018.

Instructor prepared materials