COMM 9 Course Outline as of Spring 2019

CATALOG INFORMATION

Dept and Nbr: COMM 9 Title: CRITICAL THINK & ARGMNT

Full Title: Critical Thinking and Argumentation

Last Reviewed: 4/9/2024

Units		Course Hours per Week		Nbr of Weeks	Course Hours Total	
Maximum	3.00	Lecture Scheduled	3.00	17.5	Lecture Scheduled	52.50
Minimum	3.00	Lab Scheduled	0	5	Lab Scheduled	0
		Contact DHR	0		Contact DHR	0
		Contact Total	3.00		Contact Total	52.50
		Non-contact DHR	0		Non-contact DHR	0

Total Out of Class Hours: 105.00 Total Student Learning Hours: 157.50

Title 5 Category: AA Degree Applicable

Grading: Grade or P/NP

Repeatability: 00 - Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

Also Listed As:

Formerly: SPCH 9

Catalog Description:

Primary emphasis is on argumentation as the study of analysis, evidence, reasoning, refutation and rebuttal in oral and written communication. A significant component involves written argumentation with special attention to the essay form.

Prerequisites/Corequisites:

Completion of ENGL 1A or higher

Recommended Preparation:

Limits on Enrollment:

Schedule of Classes Information:

Description: Primary emphasis is on argumentation as the study of analysis, evidence, reasoning, refutation and rebuttal in oral and written communication. A significant component involves written argumentation with special attention to the essay form. (Grade or P/NP)

Prerequisites/Corequisites: Completion of ENGL 1A or higher

Recommended:

Limits on Enrollment:

Transfer Credit: CSU;UC.

Repeatability: Two Repeats if Grade was D, F, NC, or NP

ARTICULATION, MAJOR, and CERTIFICATION INFORMATION:

AS Degree: Area Effective: Inactive:

B Communication and Analytical Fall 1994

Thinking

CSU GE: Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

A3 Critical Thinking Fall 1995

IGETC: Transfer Area Effective: Inactive:

1B Critical Thinking - English Fall 1995

Composition

CSU Transfer: Transferable Effective: Fall 1994 Inactive:

UC Transfer: Transferable Effective: Fall 1994 Inactive:

CID:

CID Descriptor: COMM 120 Argumentation or Argumentation and Debate

SRJC Equivalent Course(s): COMM9 OR COMM3

Certificate/Major Applicable:

Both Certificate and Major Applicable

COURSE CONTENT

Student Learning Outcomes:

At the conclusion of this course, the student should be able to:

- 1. Compose argumentative essays that utilize sound reasoning, clear organization and evidentiary support.
- 2. Engage in debates that demonstrate knowledge of current debate guidelines and strategies.
- 3. Analyze and evaluate written and oral arguments in order to identify the premises for each conclusion, assess the quality of reasoning, and gauge the sufficiency of proof.

Objectives:

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Develop arguments that support the traditional prima facie elements for propositions of fact, value and policy.
- 2. Apply stock issues analysis when supporting or opposing a resolution.
- 3. List, explain and apply the techniques of refutation.
- 4. Identify and utilize the principles of effective and efficient research in preparing arguments to support or oppose a debate resolution.
- 5. Compare and contrast the differences between inductive and deductive reasoning.
- 6. Compare and contrast different patterns of reasoning including example, analogy, causal and sign reasoning.
- 7. Recognize and identify logical fallacies.
- 8. Demonstrate the use of outlining to construct cases that support or oppose a debate resolution.
- 9. Critique a debate, oral and/or written, providing reasons for a decision in accordance with accepted evaluation standards.

Topics and Scope:

- I. Introduction to the Course
 - A. The relationship between argument and critical thinking
 - B. The role of critical thinking in life, politics, professions and education
 - C. Argumentation and epistemology in the Western rhetorical tradition
 - D. The roles and responsibilities of arguers
- II. The Nature of Argumentation: From Arguing to Debating
 - A. The meaning of argumentation
 - B. The basic unit of rhetorical argument: the enthymeme
 - C. The relationship of debate to argumentation
 - D. The world of debate
- III. The Reasonable Person Model: Addressing our Rational Selves
 - A. Defining the "reasonable person"
 - B. The parties to a debate
 - C. The role of debate in problem solving
 - D. The ethics of debate
- IV. The Resolution: The Focus of a Debate
 - A. The burden of proof
 - B. Presumption
 - C. The standard of proof
 - D. The burden of refutation
- V. The Requirements for a Properly Constructed Debate Resolution
 - A. One central idea
 - B. Controversy
 - C. Neutral terminology
 - D. The burden of proof properly placed
- VI. The Importance of Definitions
 - A. Types of definitions
 - B. Standards for evaluating competing definitions
- VII. Traditional Prima Facie Stock Issue Requirements
 - A. Resolutions of fact
 - B. Resolutions of value
 - C. Resolutions of policy
- VIII. Affirmative Strategies in Debate
 - A. Needs analysis
 - B. Comparative advantage
 - C. Alternative justification
 - D. Goals/criterion
- IX. Negative Strategies in Debate
 - A. Topicality
 - B. Defense of the status quo
 - C. Minor repair
 - D. Counter policies
 - E. Reliance on presumption
 - F. Disadvantages
- X. Critical Thinking
 - A. The Toulmin Model
 - B. Fallacies of reasoning
 - C. Syllogisms
 - D. Inductive reasoning
 - E. Deductive reasoning

- F. Determining valid and invalid arguments
- XI. The Role of Research in Support of Claims
 - A. The need for evidence
 - B. The evaluation of evidence
 - C. The application of evidence
 - D. Conducting basic research
- XII. Evaluating the Debate
 - A. The role of the critic
 - B. Judging paradigms
 - C. Providing constructive feedback

Assignment:

Assignments will include:

- 1. Reading 1-2 chapters per week
- 2. Writing assignments (minimum of 6,000 words) include:
 - a. sequential writing on opposing viewpoints
 - b. essays emphasizing analysis and evaluation of arguments from a critical viewpoint
 - c. formal argumentative term papers that advocate for or against a policy change on a significant contemporary issue
- 3. Skills demonstration consisting of two or three oral debates (in the classroom or via teleconferencing)
- 4. Attendance and class participation
- 5. Optional field work including critiques of live presentations
- 6. Exams (2-20):
 - a. midterm exam(s)
 - b. final exam
 - c. quizzes

Methods of Evaluation/Basis of Grade:

Writing: Assessment tools that demonstrate writing skills and/or require students to select, organize and explain ideas in writing.

Argumentative essays of varying lengths

Writing 50 - 60%

Problem Solving: Assessment tools, other than exams, that demonstrate competence in computational or non-computational problem solving skills.

Include evaluating syllogisms, identifying fallacies and identifying the problem with (and fixing) incorrectly phrased resolutions

Problem solving 5 - 10%

Skill Demonstrations: All skill-based and physical demonstrations used for assessment purposes including skill performance exams.

Include oral presentations/debates, performance exams

Skill Demonstrations 15 - 25% **Exams:** All forms of formal testing, other than skill performance exams.

Multiple choice; True/False; Matching; Completions; Essays

Exams 15 - 25%

Other: Includes any assessment tools that do not logically fit into the above categories.

Class attendance and participation in discussions and group exercises

Other Category 5 - 10%

Representative Textbooks and Materials:

Critical Thinking, Reading and Writing: A Brief Guide to Argument. 9th ed. Barnet, Sylvan and Bedau, Hugo and O'Hara, John. Bedford/St. Martins. 2016

Argumentation and Debate. 13th ed. Freeley, Austin and Steinberg. David. Wadsworth Publishing. 2013

Critical Thinking and Communication: The Use of Reason in Argument. 7th ed. Inch, Edward and Tudor, Kristen. Pearson. 2013

Critical Thinking Through Debate. 2nd ed. Nelson, Mark and Corcoran, Joseph. Kendall/Hunt. 2012 (classic)

Argument! 2nd ed. Gooch, John and Seyler, Dorothy. McGraw-Hill. 2012 (classic)

Argumentation and Critical Decision Making. 8th ed. Rieke, Richard and Sillars, Malcolm and Peterson, Tarla. Pearson. 2012 (classic)

Burden of Proof: An Introduction to Argument and Guide to Parliamentary Debate. 4th ed. Crossman, Mark. Thomson Custom Publishing. 2005 (classic)